App F IX-4

1925.

File No. 169-25

Previous References
495-13 660-16 (Inamdar)
134-19
727-11
379-22
234-24

Serial Nos. 1—1

Later references.
1?? 76-1-2
Inwd 320-27-14

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B for August 1926

SUBJECT

Management of the Chattram and connected Charities founded by the late Bhupalam Subbaraya Chetty of C & M. Station, Bangalore.

G.O. dd 4-11 (encl)
Dated 24.6.26

To the Inam Comr.
Commissioner
& Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore.

Sanctions the recommendation of the Inamdar Commissioner

Office of the Mzural Commissioner in Mysore.

Immediate

...ted Bangalore, 31 January 1926.

From

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,

Mzural Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

To

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government

in the Revenue Department.

Sir,

Ref-ring to correspondence ending with your letter No.1471/ M.F. 324-3 dated 6th April 1925 regarding the claims of the --- Chettiars and connected matters including the case of Lingaiah --- Subbaraya Setty Mr. and C M Station., Bangalore, I have the honour to state that notice of application No.1[illegible]/26-27 on the file of the District Judge's Court, Bangalore, (Venkatesa Chetty and others Vs Munamma) have been received. The proceedings is for a refund of the sum of Rs.30,000/- deposited by the late Dewan (Vide G.O.No.1106-8/M.F.727-11-2 dated 24th September 1917) and it is not known under what circumstances the amount was credited, and a copy of the judgment in O.S.No.19/19 in the file of the District Judge's Court, C M Station, and copy of the plaint have not been received so far. It is also to be ascertained whether --- legality of the will has been contested. If so, Government are interested and the grounds against the decision of the Court.

As the hearing in the District Judge's Court of this matter, I have taken service of the summons and the application to the Government to be represented with the request that a Counsel on behalf of Government, and Vakil's fees, as admissible, be sanctioned. I request that the action taken may be approved and the Government advocate may be instructed accordingly.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

C S Balasundaram Iyer

Mzural Commissioner.

Serial No

5. From, The Inspr Genl
Letter no. C. 734 Dy 9. 3. 1926.
Reports that it rests with either to interest themselves in the perpetuation of the charities or to surrender the amount tendered by Jayamma to the petr in the Dist and requests Legal Secretary's v/ whether the execution petition should be contested or not.
The Public Prosecutor's memo explains the facts of the case.
It is reported that the case is adjourned to the 16th instant for filing objections and the Dist requests early instructions in the matter.

Secretr 11.3.26 (Regd:- M minute dy 15-1-26
11.3.1926 Rev. Secy)

18th memo

16

409/113

The L & L Secy may be consulted as desired by the Dist. & his opinion should then be placed before Govt (to whom the papers should be returned by the 15th inst as the latter is to appear in the matter on the 17th)

To L & L Secy with compliments

16 All the papers are not available. I learn that some papers are in Hyd & that they are to be sent for through the Govt

NOTE— Contn. Sheet

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-2

Serial No

9 As the Public Prosecutor seems to have some connection with another case, I asked him to appear on 18th inst. to obtain an adjt. to enable us to see whether we ought to object or not.

10 Meanwhile all the papers connected with the deposit of the amount by Thayumma may be sent for.

Rev. Sec. with Compts. 8/4 13/3

11 1st meeting h 17/3

12 Action may be taken as once as suggested by Rev. & Sec. & Compts.

2 14/3 Asst. h 16/3 16/3

13 4 Collections (since traced out) bearing on the subject, are herewith submitted for perusal.

V (495-13, (Collections).. 134-19 379-22).

14 D/Fs to the M.C. calling for the records bearing on the subj. and to the Compr. calling the bond executed by Jayam are submr for apptt or

AV 19/3 3.26.B 19/3/26 Rev. Secy) h 19/3

h Issue

(This matter has been marked home)

h 1/10/3

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25

Serial No.

6 To. mus Comm No. 1211 dy 20.3.26
Calls for all the ver records Connected with the Endowment in question

7. To the Comptr No 1212 dy 20.3.26
Calls for the agreement executed by the ~~Govt~~ donor in respect of the Endowment in question

(8) From, The Comptr.
Letter no. S.L.3371 dy 27.3.26
Forwards the Agreement executed by Jayamma.

a) In Sl. 6, the M.C. has been asked to send up the records if any, in the subject, and their receipt may be awaited.
Submit
AV 30.3.1926 30/3/26 29/yes. N/31/3

From, the mus Comr
No. C. 1076 dy 7.4.1926.
Submits the entire Connected with the case.

29) The records have received. As directed by the Members in his minutes dy 13 March 1926 (Para 16), may opinion of the L & L Secretary be called for?
Submit. for perusal
AV 10.4.26. 12-4-26.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No 169 of 1925.

Serial No

L. L. Sects) 30) Reps:- Paras 1 to 20.

18/4/19

31) The facts of the case as can be gathered from the papers placed before me, may briefly be stated as follows:-

32) One Bhupalam Subraya chetty died leaving a widow by name Thayammal. The late S. Chetty had built a Chattram in Narayanaswami Pillai Street, C.T.C. Stanley He had intended to feed poor boys in the Chattram & seems to have authorized his widow to carry out his intention. Accordingly Thayammal deposited Rs 20000/- with Govt & entered into a covenant with Govt on 21. Jan 1914, binding herself & her heirs not to draw the principal & to draw interest only & be utilized for the object of feeding 4 Brahmin & 6 Vaisya boys in the Chattram built by her husband.

33) The position then is that according to the authorization by the last owner of the property, the widow had exercised the power. This is perfectly legal & a valid act binding on the reversioners who could not avoid it on her death [See Gour on Hindu Code para 2394, p. 1026]

34) The reversioners of S. Chetty [illegible]

WD 01264—GPB —40,000—17-12-25

General and Revenue Secretariat

Judy File No. 169 of 1925.

Serial No.

brought an action (O.S 19 of 1921 on the file of the Dt Judge, C th Station), for a declaration against (1) Thayamma, 2, Venkateshwara Chetty & (3) B Venkatachelapathi.

35) Thayamma died on 9th Jan 1923 while the suit was pending

A decree was passed ~~declaring that~~ directing delivery of moveables & immoveables mentioned in the Schedule to the Lands of 2nd Defdt

In the Schedule I find the endowment of Rs 20,000 with Nagarai Defdt, myself as the sum to be accounted for by 2nd Defdt

It is to be observed, first, that the 2nd Defdt is not the person that created this endowment, & secondly that he is not in possession of this fund, & thirdly, that by the decree he has to account for this sum.

36) The Govt were not a party to this action & the question of the legality or otherwise of the transaction by the widow with Govt, was not raised or decided in this suit. Under these circumstances, it is difficult to see how the decree holder can execute the decree against the Govt

Serial No

37) As the revenue has to get the deed Cancelled before he can recover the amount, & he has to take legal proceedings is file a regular suit against Govt ~~to obtain decree~~, for the recovery of this sum, the Public Prosecutor may be engaged to oppose the execution proceedings. The Govt seem to have a good case for the action is apparently barred by time. If approved, the P. P. will be instructed to obtain necessary copies to oppose the proceedings.

The Revenue Board

19-4

24/4

20/4

607/20/4/26

38) I agree with the A. G. Action may be taken as over on the lines suggested by him

39 dy 18 Immediate 22/4 24/4

40) To A. G. for necessary action & compliance 20/4.

41) Instruct P. P. above

23/4

42) Draft ~~order~~ letter

25/4 24/4

43) appd 24/4

WD 01264—GPB—40,000 - 17-12-25

General and Revenue Secretariat

Mung File No 169 of 1925.

Serial No. 10

To The Public Prosecutor Bangalore

ROP. 5541 D 28-4-26

Requests to obtain necessary copies in connection with the execution proceedings and oppose the same on behalf of the Muzrai Comr.

45) This may be entrusted to the Muzrai Branch.

29/4/26 Asst 29/4 Muzrai Asst 30/4

From the Muz. Comr. letter no. C.754 dt 19.6.26.

Recommends for reasons stated, the acceptance of the compromise proposal made by the opponents (Devasthanam) in the execution case, on condition that they bind themselves to abide by the terms of the amicable Endowment Rules, and execute a document to this effect.

46) The Compromise proposal is recommended both by the Public Prosecutor, Bangalore, and the Muz. Comr.

47) The case is coming for objections on 2-7-1906.

Submit. Can orders — may the ~~—~~ d d sett be executed?

30.6.26. 30/6

Yes L. L. Sett may be executed.

30/6

NOTE—Con . Sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 169 of 19 25

Serial No

50) The proposal may under the circumstances of the case be accepted.

Rev Sec'y
with (compts)

51) The recommendation of the Municipal Commissioner which is satisfactory may be approved

2nd member)

52) Orders Sanctioning the M C's recommendation may be issued and the M C may be requested to have a new agreement executed by the revenue who have established their claim to the amt. We may add that Govt & will be glad if poor Vysya Students are preferred in the arrangements made for feeding in the Chatram

Sludging in recognised Educational institutions

22/6/26

53) Offr. G.O. to issue, for approval.

23.6.26 Rev. Secy 23

54) Submitted for perusal before issue

Dewan

55) 23/6 23.6.26

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Received on
23. JUN. 1926
Reg No 9820.

G.R.S. III-2f

File No. of 19

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial No.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mus File No. 169 of 1925

Serial No	
12	To, Mines Comm. Comptr & Public Prosecutor No Camp 7 — H dt 24.6.26 Sanctions the Mines Comm. recommendation with the request that a new agreement may not exceeded by the Mines Comm. etc

B 917

File No. of 19

General Land Revenue Secretariat.

Serial No.

SUBJECT.....

Section

File No. Reg-25

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Seria No. 2-

No. 860.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To The Inuzzei Cen

Sir,

Date of issue 16/1/26

Signed by

Adverting to your lette
no. c. 734 dy 6-1-1926
requesting sanction to th
action taken by you
having instructed the
Advocate to appear on
behalf of Govt and he
the civil Suit in the
Dist judge's court, Banga
for the refund of a
cash deposit of Rs 20,0
connected with the civi
founded by the late

List of enclosures .....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

over

Bhupalam Subraya
chetty in the Cotton Station.
Bangalore, I am
directed to enquire what
interest the Govt have got
in the matter and why
the Govt Pleader should be
asked to appear in the
case at the cost of Govt,
and to request that you
may be so good as to submit
a report on the point
at once.

I have etc.
L.
16/11

16.1.26 N
16/1/26 Read & file.

OFFICE OF THE GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE IN MYSORE

Bangalore. *192*
8th February 6

FROM

GEN. & REV SECRETARIAT
OFFICE
MUZRAI

K. SHANKARANARAYANA RAO, ESQ, M A, B.L,
GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE IN MYSORE,
BANGALORE

D. 904

To

The Muzrai Commissioner
in Mysore, BANGALORE.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No. C.734/25-26 dated the 6th January 1926, I have the honour to state that it is not possible for me to attend to Execution Cases before the Lower Courts as my work before the Chief Court does not allow me time for the same. Under the circumstance the duplicate copy of the Summons in Original Suit No.19/1921/Ex.15 of 25-26 on the file of the District JUdge, Civil & Military Station, Bangalore received with your letter under reference is herewith returned with the request that the case may be handed over to the Public Prosecutor, Bangalore Division.

2. The case stands posted for fresh notice to the Opponent, to the 15th February 1926.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

SD/- K. SHANKARANARAYANA RAO.
Government Advocate.

3961
GENL. & REV. SECTT.
BR. ....
9 FEB. 1926
G. D. SECTION

Memo No. 584.

M-2-169-25-3

Dated Bangalore, 8th February 1926.

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, for informati

Government Advocate.

Submitted for perusal

The Mun Court has been addressed in the matter by the Govt Advocate, and has reply to the r/hon letter No 880/Mun 169-25-2 may be Annexed

Rev. Secy) 10/2/26

10/2

81. Member)

Yes. The RC may be removed... Thereafter some steps should be taken?

DH to MC. 19/2

DD. Secretary

AV 18/2/26 18/2/26

2. NO1074 dt 20-2-26

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 24th February 1926.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT. 6 FEB 1926 MUZRAI

B. Ramaswamaiya, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore. D. 9/25

Mus 169-25-4

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter - No.1074/M.F.169-25 dated the 20th Instant regarding O.S.No.19 of 1921/Ex.15 of 25 on the file of the District Judge's Court, Civil & Military Station, Bangalore, I have the honor to state that as the Government Advocate intimated that as his work before the -- Chief Court did not allow him time to attend to cases before the Lower Courts, the matter has been referred to the Public Prosecut Bangalore. He has been requested to obtain an adjournment of -- about a month and to send a memo explaining the facts of the case and the circumstances under which the refund of the charitable --- deposit has been claimed. On receipt of the Public Prosecutor's reply, the report called for by you will be submitted.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

B Ramaswamaiya

Muzrai Commissioner.

The connected file is submitted. The further report promised may be awaited.

KGR.

Rev. Secy. 1.3.26

Secy. 1/3/26

AV 1.3.26

1st. Member 1/3

65/23

37676

No. C 734 y-25 Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.
one file

Dated Bangalore, 9th M a r c h 1926.

From

B. Ramaswamaiya, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore

To

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter C.No.734/25-26 ---- dated the 25th February 1926 regarding O.S.No.19 of 1921/Ex.15 of 25 on the file of the District Judge's Court, Bangalore, I have the honor to forward herewith a memo together with its enclosures received from the Public Prosecutor, Bangalore Division and to - state that the fact of the case will be clear from the above. The endowment of Thayamma was accepted in Government Order No. 1005-8/M-F.727-11-2 dated 24th September 1913 and it is inforce for 12 years. Litigation seems to have been commenced during - the life-time of Thayamma and the District Judge has held the -- will said to have been left by the late Bhupalam Subrayachetty to be not genuine. Mr. Veeraraghavalu Chetty brother of ------ Thayamma having also died, there appears to be no representative interested in preserving the charities in tact. It rests with the Government either to interest themselves in the perpetuation of the charities under the charitable endowment scheme, or to -- surrender the amount tendered by Thyamma to the petitioners in the suit. The former would appear to be the better course. In the circumstances of the case I request you to be so good as to consult the Secretary to Government in the Legislative ----- Department and favour me with the views of Government whether the execution petition should be contested or not- As the case is adjourned to 16th Instant for filing objections, if any, I

solicit the favour of very early instructions in the

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

V. Ramaswamy

Muzrai Commissioner.

KSR.

SUBJECT ..... Encumbrance 19

2 W.S.

Section mur

File No. 169-25

Diary No. of letter replied to ..

Seria No. 6

No. 1211

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

To ..... The Insp. Genl.

Sir,

Date of issue .. 25/8/26

Signed by ..... Mr N

Adverting to Correspondence ending with your letter no. C.734 of 9/3/26 regarding O.S. no. 19 of 1921/Ex. 15 of 25 and file of the Dist. Judge's Court, Bangalore, I am directed to request that all the Revenue records connected with the endowment of Jayanagar may also be looked up for reference &c. &c.

List of enclosures ... .

Copied by.. ... .

Examined by... .. . .

over

WD 0562—GPB—31,000—17 8-25

reach This office not later
than the 30th March 1926.

[illegible]

N/1913 D.I.) Rec'd Tuesday
20/3

19/3/26

SUBJECT..... Quinquennial Rate 2015

Section mer

File No. 169-25

Diary No. of letter replied to...

Serial No. 7

No. 8212

To The Comptr.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

Sir,

Date of issue. 20/3/26

Signed by.....

Adverting to G.O. no. 1005-8/mer.727-11-2 d. 24th Septr. 1913 accepting the deposit offered by Jayamma wife of Bhupala Subraya chetty as an endowment for a chattram built by her husband in Narayana Pillai Street, C.T.M. Station, Bangalore I am directed to request that you will be so good to send up the

List of enclosures .....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

over

reference at an early
date. The land refd. to
in ~~para~~ para 3 of the
said order executed by
the donor in the form
prescribed under notification
No 15-)-1909.

I have the
honour
to be
Sir
Your obdt Servt
Asst Secretary
19.3.26

19/3

40169

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

In reply please refer to No. S.L. 3371.

Mysore.

Comptroller's Office, Bangalore,

Dated the 27th March 192 6.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT
30MAR1926
MUZRAI

D. 1128
Muz 169-25-8

GENL. & REV. SECRETARIAT
Br. Muz
29 MAR 1926
G. DEPARTMENT

B. Nagappa Esq., Bar-at-Law.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Local and Legislative Departments,
B a n g a l o r e.

In compliance with your letter No. 1212/Muz.169-25-7 dated 20th March 1926, calling for the agreement executed by Tayamma wife of Bhupalam Subraya Chetty on account of the Endowment Deposit of R$20,000/-, I have the honor to forward the same and to request an acknowledgment of its receipt.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Assistant Comptroller.

213

1076 of 25-26. Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.
encl one file

Dated Bangalore, 7th April 1926.

GENL. & REV. SECRETARIAT.
8-APR 1926
MUZRAI

From

C. S. Palasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

D 1190

Muz. 169-25-9

To

GENL. & REV. SECTT.
Bg. Dated
8 APR 1926
G. D. SECTION.

K. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

With reference to your letter No.1211/M.F.169-25-6 dated 30th March 1926 requesting the transmission of all the vernacular records connected with the endowment of Tayamma for reference in connection with the original Suit No.19 of 1921/Ex.15-25 on the file of the --- District Judges Court, Bangalore, I have the honor to forward herewith the entire records received with the Bangalore District Deputy Commissioner's letter No.C.3-665-25 dated 30th March 1926.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

C S Balasundaram Iyer

Muzrai Commissioner.

KSR.

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
the 192

Date of issue 28/4/26

Signed by

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

Section General -
File No. 169 - 25
Seria No. 10
No. 5541

To The Public Prosecutor
Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to
G O No 1907 1921 / Ex 15
of 25 on the file of
the Hon'ble Judges Court
CH Bangalore, I am
directed to request you
to obtain the necessary
copies of the connected
with the proceedings
and to oppose the same
on behalf of the Inspector
General of Commissioner as the
Govt. have not — as

over

Bearing on the original
point and the question
of the legality or otherwise
of the deposit of Rs 90000
with Govt by the wisdom
of the Central Bombay
Sinhalese chetty was
not raised decided
in that suit. ~~Participation~~

I have etc

[illegible]
25/4 Sd.
12 & L Secy Govt.

Copying in progress comm 5542

[illegible]
28/1/26
[illegible] 2444

& Muzrai

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE/COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE.

Camp Mysore,
~~Bangalore~~ 19th June 1926.

No. C 734/25-26.

FROM

C. S. BALASUNDARAM IYER ESQ., B.A.,
Muzrai ~~Revenue~~ Commissioner in Mysore.

T

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF
HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.
REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

SIR,

With reference to correspondence ending with the Local and Legislative Secretary's Memo No. P. 5542/Law dated the 28th April 1926 forwarding copy of letter No. P. 5541/Law of the same date issuing instructions to the Public Prosecutor, Bangalore, in the matter of opposing Execution Proceedings in respect of the deposit of Rs 20,000 with Government by Tayamma, widow of the late Bhupalam Subraya Chetty, I have the honor to submit herewith copy of Public Prosecutor's letter of the 15th instant with its enclosure which is a compromise proposal made by the Advocate for the decree-holders in the execution case.

2. In the Government Order of September 1913 accepting the endowment, it was intended that the interest should be utilized for feeding in the chattram four Brahmin and six Vaisya orphan boys studying in some recognised educational institutions. In the compromise now advanced, a students' hostel in the locality where the chattram is situated is considered a superfluity and it is proposed instead to feed from the periodically accruing interest 5 poor vaisyas including deserving vaisya students, if any, twice daily, the selection and the number of days they may be fed being left entirely to the discretion of the decree-holders who will manage the charities by turns of one each year. Further, they want the arrears of interest due up to date to be paid to them immediately for being appropriated mostly towards the cost of

maintenance of the chattram from 1914 and the balance left, if any being taken for recouping their own expenses of the present litigation. The future interest is proposed to be devoted entirely the charities, regular accounts being maintained therefor. The decree-holders also stipulate that the management of the charities should always vest in themselves, their successors or nominees

3. In view of the circumstance that the will under which the deceased donor Thayamma came in possession of the sum of Rs. 20,000 endowed by her for the charities, has been declared to be a forged one and execution proceedings have already been instituted for taking back the amount and the case stands adjourned to 2nd July 1926, I think that the Public Prosecutor's recommendation may be accepted and the request of the decree-holders sanctioned on condition that they bind themselves to abide by the terms of the Charitable Endowment Rules and execute a document to this effect.

4. Early orders are solicited as the date of hearing is very near.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

C.S. Balasundaram Jr

Muzrai Commissioner.

M.B.R.

Diary No. of letter replied to .. ..

General and Revenue Secretariat

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read—

Date of issue..... .... I. .....

Signed by.. ....

Govt Pro. no. 1005-
Muz. 727-11-2 29 24-9.
accepting, under the charitable
Endowments Rules, the
₹ 20,000 tendered by
Thayamma wife of the late
Bhupalam Subraya chetty
the interest being utilized
for feeding four Brahmans
and Six Vaishya Students
in the chatram built
by her husband in
Narayana Pillai Street.
of M. Stelin, Bangalore

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette English Part ?

v translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Order Thereon No.

Correspondence ending with the
letter no. C. 734/25-26 dt
15th June 1926 from the
Asst. Comr reporting that
the Devasthanams of the
late Subraya chetty having
taken execution proceedings
&~~pro~~: in respect
of the decree obtained by
them in O. S. no. 19 of
1921 on the file of the
Dist. Judge, C & M. Station,
for the delivery of the said
endowment of ~~Rs~~ 20,000
The Public Prosecutor, Bangalore,
who was authorized to
oppose, has sent up a
compromise proposal for the compromise made by the
advocate for the Decree-holders, according to which
by which they (decree
holders) agree among
themselves, to ~~be~~ feed
from the interest accruing
periodically accruing
interest 5 poor Vaisyas
including Vaisya students
if any thrice daily and main-

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 1688—GPB—1,000 00—21 12-21

G. R. S. G/33]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

Regular accounts and recommending acceptance of the Compromise propo- on the condition that they deceased bind themselves to abide by the terms of the Charitable Endowment Rules

---

Under the circumstance reported, Govt are pleased to sanction the Dist. Coll's. recommendation for the acceptance of the terms of the Compromise proposed. The D.C. is requested to have a new agreement executed by the Revenue Payers on the condition ~~who have established their claim to the land~~.

The Revenue Payers may be informed that Govt will be glad

if poor Vaisya Students
studying in recognized
Educational institutions.
are preferred in the
arrangements made
for feeding in the
Chathram

Ros
23626
28/6 DMO Rev Secretary.

To

The Hon'ble Chief Secretary.
including mgt. Compromise proposal
" Comptr
" Public Prosecutor, Bangalore Dir

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section mun

File No. 169-25

Serial No. 13

No. 146.

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Enclosure one agreement in original.

To

The Comptr.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

Sir,

Date of issue 27-7-26

Signed by Navaneetham Naidu

Adverting to your letter No. S.d. 3371 dy 27-3-1926, I am directed to return herewith the agreement executed by Jayamma wife of Bhupalam Subbaraya Chetty on account of the Inducement Deposit of Rs 20,000/-

I have etc

Rev Secretary

24/7/26

over

Rs returning the agreement is submitted for appl.

23.7.26.

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

WD 01265—GPB—31,000—24-12-25

15453 .....DEPARTMENT.

Office of the Comptroller in Mysore.

Bangalore, dated..... 27.7.1926

REV. SECRETARIAT
31 JUL 1926
MUZRAI

A. 100/S.L.....

D. 138

The Secretary to the Government
Mus 769-25-14
of Mysore Revenue
Department Bangalore

PR Mus
30 JUL 1926
REV. SECTION

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the following, received with your letter

No. Mus 769-25-13 dated 27.7.1926.

One agreement executed by Thayamma.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

G. S. Thammaya
28.7.26
Assistant Comptroller.

28-7-26
29/7/26

G.R.S. III—37

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

This will be filed with the connected file of papers.

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form